IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

KYLI	BE	EB	Ε,
------	----	----	----

Plaintiff,

VS.

Civ. No. 19-545 JHR/JFR

JOHN TODD,

Defendant.

AMENDED ORDER ADOPTING JOINT STATUS REPORT AND PROVISIONAL DISCOVERY PLAN WITH CHANGES AND SETTING CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES

THIS MATTER came before the Court at a telephone status conference held on December 12, 2019. After conferring with counsel and finding good cause to do so, the Court restates the discovery parameters and amends the case management deadlines as follows:

- a) Maximum of twenty-five (25) Interrogatories by each party to any other party;
- b) Maximum of twenty-five (25) Requests for Production by each party to any other party;
- c) Maximum of twenty-five (25) Requests for Admission by each party to any other party;
- d) Maximum of ten (10) depositions by Plaintiff and ten (10) depositions by Defendant; and,
- e) Each deposition, other than experts and corporate designees, is limited to four (4) hours, unless extended by agreement of the parties.

The following case management deadlines have been amended:

a)	Plaintiff's Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosure ¹ :	February 14, 2020
b)	Defendant's Rule 26(a)(2) expert disclosure ¹ :	March 13, 2020
c)	Termination date for discovery ² :	April 13, 2020
d)	Motions relating to discovery to be filed by: ³	May 10, 2020
e)	Pretrial motions other than discovery motions (including motions which may require a <i>Daubert</i> hearing) filed by:	May 24, 2020
f)	Pretrial Order: Plaintiff to Defendant by: Defendant to Court by:	July 1, 2020 July 15, 2020

Parties may not modify case management deadlines on their own. Good cause must be shown and the Court's express, written approval obtained for any modification of the case management deadlines set forth herein. Any requests for additional discovery must be submitted to the Court by motion prior to the expiration of the discovery.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(3)(B)(v), "before moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court" to attempt to informally resolve the dispute.⁴ Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v). Discovery motions may be summarily denied if a movant fails to conform to this requirement. If the parties engage in motion practice, the "[m]ovant must determine whether a motion is opposed, and a motion that

¹ Parties must disclose the names of all expert witnesses, the subject matter on which the experts will present evidence, and a summary of the facts and opinions to which the experts are expected to testify by this date. Experts who are retained or specifically employed to provide expert testimony must also submit an expert report by this date. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2). The parties must have their retained expert(s) ready to be deposed at the time they identify them and provide their reports. Expert witnesses who are not required to provide a written report may be deposed before summary disclosure.

² Discovery must be <u>completed</u> on or before this deadline.

³ This deadline should not be construed to extend the twenty-one day time limit in D.N.M.LR-Civ. 26.6.

⁴ The Court will permit the parties to extend the 21-day time limit for filing a motion to compel pursuant to Local Rule 26.6 by stipulation, as long as the extension does not impact the court-ordered discovery motions deadline.

omits recitation of a good-faith request for concurrence may be summarily denied." D.N.M.LR-

Civ. 7.1. Further, the Court will not entertain any motion to resolve a discovery dispute pursuant

to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 through 37, or a motion to quash or modify a subpoena pursuant to Fed. R.

Civ. P. 45(c), unless the attorney for the moving party has conferred or has made reasonable

effort to confer with opposing counsel concerning the matter in dispute prior to the filing of the

motion. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1) (explaining that any motion for an order compelling

disclosure or discovery must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred

or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make disclosure or discovery in an

effort to obtain it without court action). Every certification required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c) and

37 and this rule related to the efforts of the parties to resolve discovery or disclosure disputes

must describe with particularity the steps taken by all attorneys to resolve the issues in dispute.

A "reasonable effort to confer" means more than mailing or faxing a letter to the opposing party.

It requires that the parties in good faith converse, confer, compare views, consult, and deliberate,

or in good faith attempt to do so. Absent exceptional circumstances, parties should converse in

person or telephonically.

The parties must supplement their disclosures or discovery responses pursuant to Fed. R.

Civ. P. 26(e)(1) within thirty (30) days of learning any disclosure or response is incomplete or

incorrect. After the close of discovery, parties must supplement their disclosures or discovery

responses as soon as possible, but no later than seven (7) days after learning of the need to

supplement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JOHN F. ROBBENHAAR

United States Magistrate Judge

3